

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

Blue Spike, LLC,	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	CASE NO. 6:12-cv-499 MHS
v.	§	LEAD CASE
Texas Instruments, Inc., et al.,	§	Jury Trial Demanded
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	
<hr/>		
Blue Spike, LLC,	§	CASE NO. 6:13-cv-083 MHS
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	CONSOLIDATED CASE
v.	§	Jury Trial Demanded
M2SYS, LLC,	§	
<i>Defendant.</i>	§	
<hr/>		

**PLAINTIFF BLUE SPIKE'S REPLY IN RESPONSE TO
M2SYS'S COUNTERCLAIMS**

Plaintiff Blue Spike, LLC (“Blue Spike”) files this Reply to the Counterclaims of Defendant M2SYS, LLC (“M2SYS” or “Defendant”) (Case No. 6:12-cv-499, Dkt. No. 665) as follows. All allegations not expressly admitted or responded to by Blue Spike are denied.

COUNTERCLAIMS

1. Paragraph 1 does not contain any allegations requiring an admission or denial.
2. Blue Spike admits the allegations of Paragraph 2.
3. Paragraph 3 does not contain any allegations requiring an admission or denial, but to the extent that Defendant alleges that venue is not proper in this District, Blue Spike denies same.

**COUNT ONE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '175 PATENT**

4. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 3 above.
5. Blue Spike admits the allegations of Paragraph 5.
6. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 6.
7. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 7.

**COUNT TWO: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
INVALIDITY OF THE '175 PATENT**

8. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 7 above.
9. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.
10. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 10.

**COUNT THREE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '494 PATENT**

11. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 10 above.
12. Blue Spike admits the allegations of Paragraph 12.
13. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 13.
14. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 14.

**COUNT FOUR: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
INVALIDITY OF THE '494 PATENT**

15. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 14 above.

16. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 16.
17. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 17.

**COUNT FIVE: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '700 PATENT**

18. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 17 above.
19. Blue Spike admits the allegations of Paragraph 19.
20. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 20.
21. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 21.

**COUNT SIX: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
INVALIDITY OF THE '700 PATENT**

22. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 21 above.
23. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 23.
24. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 24.

**COUNT SEVEN: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
NON-INFRINGEMENT OF THE '472 PATENT**

25. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 24 above.
26. Blue Spike admits the allegations of Paragraph 26.
27. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 27.
28. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 28.

**COUNT EIGHT: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF
INVALIDITY OF THE '472 PATENT**

29. Blue Spike repeats and incorporates by reference its responses to the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
30. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 30.
31. Blue Spike denies the allegations of Paragraph 31.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Blue Spike denies that Defendant is entitled to any of the relief it requests.

PLAINTIFF'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In addition to the relief requested in its Original Complaint, Blue Spike respectfully requests a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- (a) That Defendant take nothing by its Counterclaims;
- (b) That the Court award Blue Spike its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in defending against these Counterclaims; and
- (c) Any and all further relief for Blue Spike as the Court may deem just and proper.

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser
Randall T. Garteiser
Texas Bar No. 24038912
rgarteiser@ghiplaw.com
Christopher A. Honea
Texas Bar No. 24059967
chonea@ghiplaw.com
Christopher S. Johns
Texas Bar No. 24044849
cjohns@ghiplaw.com
Kirk J. Anderson
California Bar No. 289043
Peter S. Brasher
California Bar No. 283992

GARTEISER HONEA, P.C.
44 North San Pedro Road
San Rafael, California 94903
Telephone: (415) 785-3762
Facsimile: (415) 785-3805

Counsel for Blue Spike LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Randall T. Garteiser, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. I hereby certify that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule CV-5(a)(3) on this day. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5, this document was served via U.S. Mail and electronic means to counsel for Defendant that are not receiving this document via CM/ECF.

/s/ Randall T. Garteiser

Randall T. Garteiser